## Exhibit 7

919 THIRD AVENUE NEW YORK NEW YORK 10022-3908

JENNER & BLOCK LLP

March 23, 2015

Jeremy M. Creelan Tel 212 891-1678 Fax 212 909-0858 jcreelan@jenner.com

## VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL AND FIRST CLASS MAIL

David H. Kramer, Esq. Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati 650 Page Mill Road Palo Alto, CA 94304

Re:

Google v. Hood Subpoena

Dear Mr. Kramer:

We represent the Motion Picture Association of America, Inc. ("MPAA") in this matter. The Subpoena filed and served on MPAA on March 13, 2015 (the "March 13 Subpoena") is premature. As you are aware, Judge Wingate's March 2 order (the "Order") states that discovery shall not commence until after he issues a "detailed written ruling on the issuance of the injunction" (Order at 3) and after Attorney General Hood has filed his Answer – neither of which has yet occurred. See Order at 4 ("the parties shall be permitted 90 days for discovery from the date of the filing of defendant's answer"). Accordingly, pursuant to the Court's Order, MPAA will deem the March 13 Subpoena to have been served on the date upon which discovery in this case begins, i.e., when Attorney General Hood files an Answer.

Please advise us promptly if your understanding is contrary in any respect to this approach.

Sincerely,

Jeremy M. Creelan